

An Integrated Pathogen Control, Ammonia and Phosphorus Recovery System for Manure and/or Organic Wastes

Craig Frear, Quanbao Zhao and Shulin Chen

Department of Biological Systems Engineering Washington State University Pullman, WA

November 8, 2010







The Problem



AD mitigates numerous air, water and climate environmental concerns while producing renewable energy **however** little advantage is gained for CAFO or industry producers concerned with their overall nutrient loading to fields.



Total Ammonia: 2-7 g N/L Total Phosphorus: 0.5-1.5 g P/L





The Solution



Insert a nutrient recovery process on the back end of the digester to recover N and P nutrients from the effluent. Research question is what system is most economical, and produces highest yield?





Concepts Guiding Approach

- AD process leads to 30-40% shifts from organic nitrogen to ammonia nitrogen leading to elevated effluent ammonia concentrations (EPA Agstar, 2005).
- Ammonia is best recovered through ammonia stripping. However, stripping is strongly influenced by temperature, pH, solids content—hence solids must first be removed and temperature/pH elevated (Frear et al, 2010).
- The majority of phosphorus in AD effluent can be tied up as suspended, colloidal, micro-solids bound with calcium and magnesium ions. (Zhang and Chen, 2008; Güngör and Karthikeyan, 2008). Thus need for removing solids also accomplishes recovery of P. BUT how to best remove solids?
- Temperature can come from waste engine heat **BUT how to raise pH**?



Integrated Concept





Non-Practical P-Solids Removal Approach

Polymer/Coagulant Approach

 Commercial-scale coagulant and polymer system on Big Sky Dairy in Jerome ID (AL-2 Teknik, Hovborg, Denmark).

- Alum (195 mL/m³ @ \$1.72/L) with polymer (1,250 mL/m³ @ \$3.84/L)
- Retention of coarse fibers in effluent required as bulking agent
- Belt press dewatering followed by compost processing of collected solids

	TS Reduction (%)	TP Reduction (%)	TN Reduction (%)	
Performance	72.3 ± 3.0	83.1 ± 3.7	38.2 ± 2.4	
	Chemical Cost	Electrical Cost	Capital Cost	
Cost Analysis	\$2.90/m ³	\$0.07/m ³	\$80-100/cow	

•Total cost is $2.97/m^3$ of effluent treated, or roughly 1¢/gallon treated unless loss of fibrous solids and composting are considered which then makes it $6.95/m^3$ or 0.026/gallon.



Non-Practical pH Elevation for Stripping

- Ammonia equilibrium strongly affected by pH and temperature with elevation of both parameters leading to significant increases in free ammonia necessary for ammonia stripping.
- pH dosing experiments determines chemical quantity and cost curves necessary for treatment of highly buffered AD effluent
- After solids removal, necessary lime addition to achieve pH range of 10-10.5 is between 10-11 kg lime/m³ manure at a cost of \$1/m³.







Patented Integrated Approach



P-Solids Removal and pH Rise via Aeration

WASHINGTON STATE

IVERSITY

- AD process elevates the effluent alkalinity through increased levels of carbonates, bicarbonates, and supersaturated carbon dioxide.
- Presence of supersaturated CO₂ in-part responsible for P micro-solids not settling under natural conditions—removal of gases results in significant Psolids settling while also elevating pH for subsequent ammonia stripping operation.



Microscope images of AD manure effluent with (a) micro-bubbles of gas present and evolving and (b) without gas present after aeration treatment



Effect of Aeration on Chemical Equilibria

 $CO_2(aq) \longrightarrow CO_2(q)$ $H_2CO_3 \longrightarrow H_2O + CO_2(aq)$ $HCO_3^- + H_2O \longrightarrow H_2CO_3 + OH^ CO_3^{2-} + H_2O \longrightarrow HCO_3^{-} + OH^{-}$ [OH⁻] causes pH $[OH^{-}]$ reacts with NH_{4}^{+} $NH_4^+ + OH^- \longrightarrow NH_3^+ + H_2O$

 $(NH_4)MgPO_4 \cdot 6H_2O(s) \longrightarrow NH_4^+ + Mg^{2+} + PO_4^{3-} + 6H_2O$

Effect on Solids Removal and Solids Distribution

WASHINGTON STATE





Performance of Aeration System

Laboratory results have confirmed the hypothesis in regard to aeration and subsequent CO₂ stripping leading to enhanced Psolids settling, pH elevation and ammonia stripping—especially at elevated temperature



Aeration Time (h)



Pilot Testing of Aeration System

Laboratory success has led to pilot testing at three different locations and scales.

- Continuous P-treatment (Big Sky Dairy, Jerome ID)
- 2,000 gallon batch (Boss Dairy, Chilton WI)
- 5,000 gallon batch (Fair Oaks Dairy)







Pilot Performance (N Recovery)

 Pilot runs consistently show an ability to raise pH to 10.0 and remove 80% TAN with 15 hours of aeration at 55C and with aeration rate of 40 gallons/cfm using micro-diffusers



Pilot Performance (P recovery only)

WASHINGTON STATE

VERSITY

- System can be operated so that only phosphorous solids reduction is achieved
- With only limited aeration (6 hours at 40 gallons/cfm and 35°C) followed by subsequent fiber removal and settling in existing settling weirs, the system was able to achieve 80% P removal.
- Equivalent performance to AL-2 but only input is electricity for aeration blowers—existing capital equipment already available.

6 hours of aeration in effluent pit of $35^{\circ}C$	TS	VS	TKN	TAN	TP
using 40 gallons/cfm, followed by fiber	%	%	g/L	g/L	mg/L
separation; then an additional 18 hours					
of aeration at 25 C and 1-5 days settling					
AD Effluent	5.15	3.28	4.03	2.61	564.53
6 Hrs Air @ 35C, 40 gal/cfm	5.37	3.41	4.09	2.65	587.38
Fiber Separation (18 mesh)	4.47	2.49	3.87	2.64	600.43
18 Hrs Air @ 25C, 40 gal/cfm	4.37	2.48	3.71	2.48	580.85
1 Day Settling	2.27	1.21	3.01	2.23	133.79
2 Days Settling	2.24	1.17	2.94	2.23	124.00
3 Days Settling	2.19	1.14	2.92	2.22	114.21
Total Reduction (%)	59.22	66.57	28.61	16.23	80.56

WASHINGTON STATE

Biogas Scrubbing and pH Control

- High pH effluent needs pH control while biogas needs removal of acidic impurities—biogas scrubbing/pH return stripping tower.
- Synthetic biogas mimics a typical gas composition for dairy AD biogas, namely 62.1% CH₄, 37.7% CO₂, and 2,000 ppm H₂S.
- Various biogas flow rates (low, medium, high) and gas/liquid ratios (5-25) tested were tested.



Pilot Performance (Full System)

WASHINGTON STATE

- Performance data at full system pilot operation are still coming in as of this presentation—results are showing the following:
 - Class A fiber
 - 80% TP removal with a corresponding final effluent solids concentration of 2%
 - 80% TAN removal which is subsequently converted to ammonia sulfate
 - Production of 40% by weight AS slurry at pH = 7 using two tower approach
- Approximate operating conditions are:
 - 1/2 hour 70°C plug-flow heat of effluent using waste engine heat
 - Class A fiber removal using mechanical separators
 - 15 hours of covered aeration (micro-aerators, 40 gallons/cfm, 55°C)
 - Ammonia sulfate production with two tower acid dosing system
- Estimated operating costs (chemicals, electricity) at \$1.50/m³ with potential revenue at \$3.50/m³



Full System Design

- The WSU Research
 Foundation has engaged in
 licensing and patent
 arrangements for the
 technology with GHD Inc.
 (Chilton WI), Andgar Corp.
 (Ferndale, WA), and BEST,
 LLC (Pullman, WA).
- Full scale demonstration Spring 2011 on two Washington State dairies (DeRuyter and Sons Dairy, Outlook WA and Vander Haak Dairy, Lynden WA) using USDA NRCS funding.





Implications

- The Pacific Northwest (PNW) is home to 400,000 dairy cattle, all living in relative proximity to the 5 million acres of important wheat/barley production in the region.
- Nutrient recovery from AD/NR operations on these dairies could supply and displace approximately 15% and over 100%, respectively, of the fossil fuel-based N and non-sustainable rock P fertilizer presently applied to these acres (USDA ERS, 2007, NASS 2009).
- Recovery and distribution assists in sustaining a viable dairy industry that presently experiences 36% and 55% of their farms with N and P overload concerns (USDA-APHIS, 2004).









Recovery: 0.40 pounds N/cow/day and 0.12 pounds P/cow/day



Contact

Craig Frear, PhD Assistant Professor Washington State University PO Box 646120 Pullman WA 99164-6120 <u>cfrear@wsu.edu</u> 509-335-0194

